

**LADOTD Consultant Rating Rankings**

**Project: 44-11393**

<b>Firm Name</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Rank</b>
<b>HDR</b>	<b>112.55</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>ECM</b>	<b>111.85</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Hardesty &amp; Hanover</b>	<b>111.13</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Ardaman &amp; Associates</b>	<b>109.75</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>AIMS Group</b>	<b>105.52</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>AECOM</b>	<b>100.71</b>	<b>6</b>

**LADOTD Consultant Rating - Computed Ratings**

**Project: 44-11393**

Firm Name	Work type	%	Remaining (\$1000)	*Firm Size	Firm Exp.	Personnel Exp.	Location	*Past Performance	Work Load	Firm's Rating	Total Rating (if subs)	
(Weighting Factors)				4	4	5	6	1	5			
<b>AECOM</b>	n/a	83	5,700	51	5.00	3.89	3.77	4.79	5.00	2.12	98.76	100.71
sub-Eustis Engineering	n/a	17	991	99	5.00	3.42	3.58	4.85	5.00	4.91	110.19	
<b>Ardaman &amp; Associates</b>	n/a	70	970	65	5.00	3.66	3.58	5.00	5.00	4.85	111.81	109.75
sub-FTN	n/a	10	205	23	5.00	3.99	3.99	1.22	5.00	4.92	92.83	
sub-Tetra Tech	n/a	20	0	25	5.00	3.51	3.40	5.00	5.00	5.00	111.04	
<b>AIMS Group</b>	n/a	51	0	17	5.00	3.41	3.44	4.86	5.00	5.00	109.99	105.52
sub-Freese and Nichols	n/a	49	0	10	5.00	3.80	3.78	2.80	5.00	5.00	100.87	
<b>ECM</b>	n/a	95	686	31	5.00	3.83	3.70	4.86	5.00	4.76	111.81	111.85
sub-Eustis Engineering	n/a	5	991	99	5.00	3.58	3.74	5.00	5.00	4.91	112.55	
<b>Hardesty &amp; Hanover</b>	n/a	100	0	8	5.00	3.50	3.60	4.86	5.00	5.00	111.13	111.13
<b>HDR</b>		52	360	16	5.00	3.84	3.80	4.93	5.00	4.76	112.73	112.55
sub-GeoEngineers		24	343	44	5.00	3.69	3.65	5.00	5.00	4.93	112.66	
sub-Moffatt & Nichol	n/a	24	1,608	52	5.00	3.85	3.69	5.00	5.00	4.64	112.05	

\*Firm Size and Past Performance Work Category was neutralized for this advertisement.

Note: The scores which are shown to two decimal places in this table have not been rounded. The numbers are shown to two decimal places for convenience so the table can be printed on 8 1/2" x 11" paper. The actual values may vary by as much as +/- 0.005.